

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/534,780</p>	<p>Applicant(s) HUANG ET AL.</p>
	<p>Examiner Brendan O. Baggot</p>	<p>Art Unit 1638</p>

All Participants:

(1) Brendan O. Baggot

(2) Cynthia Kozakiewicz

Date of Interview: 17 January 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
All

Claims discussed:
All

Prior art documents discussed:
Harper et al WO 2002/16655

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner asked for clarification on the invention

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)